Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,636	MCDEVITT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LYNNSY SCHNEIDER	3733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>LYNNSY SCHNEIDER</u> .	(3)	
(2) Rory Pheiffer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2009	Time: <u>4:00 pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-26, 60-63		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/L. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discovered by examiner that the limitation "said proximal main member having a distally extending threaded recess in a proximal surface thereof" in claim 1 was not disclosed in the application as originally filed. Examiner contacted applicant's representative, Rory Pheiffer to discuss this issue. Rory Pheiffer confirmed that the limitation was inadvertently added to the claims filed on 5/30/2007, stating that it was a typographical error. Examiner informed Rory Pheiffer that this limitation presented a 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph issue of new matter for claim 1 and all claims dependent upon claim 1 that would be addressed in the office action..